

| Question | Scheme  | Marks | AOs              |
|----------|---|-------|------------------|
| 1(a)     | $h = 0.5$   | B1    | 1.1a             |
|          | $A \approx \frac{0.5}{2} \{0.5774 + 0.8452 + 2(0.7071 + 0.7746 + 0.8165)\}$   | M1    | 1.1b             |
|          | = awrt 1.50   | A1    | 1.1b             |
|          | <b>For reference:<br/>The integration on a calculator gives 1.511549071<br/>The full accuracy for y values gives 1.504726147<br/>The accuracy from the table gives 1.50475</b>  |       |                  |
|          |   | (3)   |                  |
| (b)      | $3 \times$ their (a)<br>If (a) is correct, allow awrt 4.50 or awrt 4.51 even with no working.<br>Only allow 4.5 if (a) is correct and working is shown e.g. $3 \times 1.5$<br><br>If (a) is incorrect allow $3 \times$ their (a) given to at least 3sf but do not be too concerned about the accuracy (as they may use rounded or rounded value from (a))   | B1ft  | 2.2a             |
|          | <b>For reference the integration on a calculator gives 4.534647213</b>  |       |                  |
|          |   | (1)   |                  |
| (c)      | <b><u>This mark depends on the B1 having been awarded in part (b) with awrt 4.5</u></b><br>Look for a sensible comment. Some examples: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The answer is accurate to 2 sf or one decimal place</li> <li>Answer to (b) is accurate as <math>4.535 \approx 4.50</math></li> <li>Very accurate as 4.535 to 2 sf is 4.5</li> <li><math>4.51425 &lt; 4.535</math> so my answer is underestimate but not too far off</li> <li>It is an underestimate but quite close</li> <li>It is a very good estimate</li> <li>High accuracy</li> <li>(Quite) accurate</li> <li>It is less than 1% out</li> <li><math>4.535 - 4.5 = 0.035</math> so not far out</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: center;">But <b>not</b> just “it is an underestimate”<br/>or<br/>Calculates percentage error correctly using awrt 4.50 or awrt 4.51 or 4.5<br/>(No comment is necessary in these cases although one may be given)<br/>Examples:</p> $\left  \frac{4.535 - 4.50}{4.535} \right  \times 100 = 0.77\% \quad \text{or} \quad \left  \frac{4.535 - 4.51}{4.535} \right  \times 100 = 0.55\% \quad \text{or}$ $\left  \frac{4.535 - 4.51425}{4.535} \right  \times 100 = 0.46\% \quad \text{or} \quad \left  \frac{4.50}{4.535} \right  \times 100 = 99\%$ <p>In these cases don't be too concerned about accuracy e.g. allow 1sf.<br/><b>This mark should be withheld if there are any contradictory statements</b></p> | B1    | 3.2b             |
|          |   | (1)   |                  |
|          |   |       | <b>(5 marks)</b> |

**Notes:**

**B1:** States or uses  $h = 0.5$ . May be implied by  $\frac{1}{4} \times \{ \dots \}$  below.

**M1:** Correct attempt at the trapezium rule.

Look for  $\frac{1}{2}h \times \{0.5774 + 0.8452 + 2(0.7071 + 0.7746 + 0.8165)\}$  condoning slips on the terms but must use all  $y$  values with no repeats.

There must be a clear attempt at  $\frac{1}{2}h \times (\text{first } y + \text{last } y + 2 \times \text{"sum of the rest"})$

Give M0 for  $\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} \times 0.5774 + 0.8452 + 2(0.7071 + 0.7746 + 0.8165)$  unless the missing brackets are implied.

NB this incorrect method gives 5.85...

May be awarded for separate trapezia e.g.

$$\frac{1}{4}(0.5774 + 0.7071) + \frac{1}{4}(0.7071 + 0.7746) + \frac{1}{4}(0.7746 + 0.8165) + \frac{1}{4}(0.8165 + 0.8452)$$

May be awarded for using the function e.g.  $\frac{1}{2}h \times \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{0.5}{1+0.5}} + \sqrt{\frac{2.5}{1+2.5}} + 2 \left( \sqrt{\frac{1}{1+1}} + \sqrt{\frac{1.5}{1+1.5}} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{1+2}} \right) \right\}$

**A1:** Awrt 1.50 (Apply isw if necessary)

**Correct answers with no working** – send to review

**(b)**

**B1ft:** See main scheme. Must be considering  $3 \times$  (a) and not e.g. attempting trapezium rule again.

**(c)**

**B1:** See scheme