

Question	Scheme	Marks	AOs
4(a)	$u_1 = 6 \Rightarrow u_2 = 6k - 5$ $u_2 = 6k - 5 \Rightarrow u_3 = k(6k - 5) - 5$ $\Rightarrow k(6k - 5) - 5 = -1$	M1	1.1b
	$\Rightarrow 6k^2 - 5k - 4 = 0^*$	A1*	2.1
		(2)	
<b>Alternative:</b>			
	$u_3 = -1 \Rightarrow -1 = ku_2 - 5 \Rightarrow u_2 = \frac{4}{k}$ $u_1 = 6 \Rightarrow u_2 = 6k - 5 \Rightarrow \frac{4}{k} = 6k - 5$	M1	1.1b
	$\Rightarrow 6k^2 - 5k - 4 = 0^*$	A1*	2.1
(b)(i)	$k = \frac{4}{3}$	B1	2.2a
(ii)	$k = \frac{4}{3} \Rightarrow u_2 = \frac{4}{3} \times 6 - 5 \Rightarrow \sum_{r=1}^3 u_r = 6 + \frac{4}{3} \times 6 - 5 - 1$	M1	1.1b
	$\sum_{r=1}^3 u_r = 8$	A1	1.1b
		(3)	
<b>(5 marks)</b>			

### Notes

(a)

**M1:** Correct application of the given recurrence relation using  $u_1 = 6$  to find  $u_2$  and then  $u_3$  in terms of  $k$  and sets  $u_3 = -1$

Condone missing brackets if the intention is clear e.g.  $u_2 = 6k - 5 \Rightarrow u_3 = k6k - 5 - 5$

**A1\*:** Obtains the printed answer with no errors including the “= 0”

This is a given answer so do not condone slips/missing brackets unless they are recovered **before** the final printed answer.

**Alternative:**

**M1:** Correct application of the given recurrence relation using  $u_3 = -1$  to find  $u_2$  in terms of  $k$  and then uses  $u_1 = 6$  to find another expression for  $u_2$  in terms of  $k$  and equates the 2 expressions.

**A1\*:** Obtains the printed answer with no errors including the “= 0”

This is a given answer so do not condone slips unless they are recovered **before** the final printed answer.

(b)(i)

**B1:** Deduces the correct value of  $k$ . Ignore any working and just look for this value.

Allow equivalent exact values e.g.  $1\frac{1}{3}$  or  $1.\dot{3}$  but not clearly rounded e.g. 1.333

It must be clear that  $k = \frac{4}{3}$  is selected so if both roots are offered score B0 unless  $k = \frac{4}{3}$

is clearly intended by the calculation in part (ii)

(ii)

**M1:** Attempts the second term by e.g.  $(\text{their } k) \times 6 - 5$  and then adds 6 and  $-1$  to their second term. E.g.  $6 + \frac{4}{3} \times 6 - 5 - 1$

If they use  $u_1$  and  $u_3$  they must be as given in the question but condone a clear mis-copy of their  $u_2$  value.

The attempt at the second term may be implied by their value.

Note that they may use  $u_3 = -1$  to find  $u_2$  e.g.  $-1 = \frac{4}{3}u_2 - 5 \Rightarrow u_2 = \frac{3}{4}(5-1) = 3$

Condone slips when rearranging as long as the intention is clear.

The attempt at the second term may be seen embedded in their attempt at the sum e.g.

$$\sum_{r=1}^3 u_r = 6 + \frac{4}{3} \times 6 - 5 - 1 \text{ or e.g. } \sum_{r=1}^3 u_r = 6 + \frac{3}{4}(5-1) - 1$$

If they use both of their values for  $k$  allow M1.

**Alternatives:**

Note that  $\sum_{r=1}^3 u_r = 6 + 6k - 5 - 1 = 6k$  so you may just see an attempt at  $6k$  with their  $\frac{4}{3}$ .

Note that  $\sum_{r=1}^3 u_r = 6k^2 + k - 4$  so you may just see an attempt at  $6k^2 + k - 4$  with their  $\frac{4}{3}$ .

**A1:** Correct value of 8 and no other values unless rejected.

Correct answer with no working scores both marks.

Allow recovery from an inexact value from part (i) e.g. 1.333