Qu 5	Scheme	Marks	AO	
(a)	[Let $F \sim N(166.5, 6.1^2)$] $P(F < k) = 0.01 \Rightarrow \frac{k - 166.5}{6.1} = -2.3263$	M1	3.4	
	k = 152.309 152 or awrt 152.3	A1 (2)	1.1b	
(b)	[P($150 < F < 175$) =] 0.914840 awrt 0.915	B1 (2)	1.1b	
(c)	$P(F > 160 \mid 150 < F < 175)$	M1 (1)	3.1b	
	$= \frac{P(160 < F < 175)}{P(150 < F < 175)} \underline{\text{or}} \frac{P(160 < F < 175)}{"(b)"}$	M1	1.1b	
	$=\frac{0.7749487}{"0.91484"}$	A1ft	1.1b	
	$= 0.84708 \text{ awrt } \underline{\textbf{0.847}}$	A1	1.1b	
(d)	$H_0: \mu = 166.5$ $H_1: \mu < 166.5$	B1 (4)	2.5	
	[Let $X = \text{height of female from } 2^{\text{nd}} \text{ country}$] $\overline{X} \sim N \left(166.5, \left(\frac{7.4}{\sqrt{50}} \right)^2 \right)$	M1	3.3	
	$P(\bar{X} < 164.6) = 0.03472$	A1	3.4	
	$[0.0347 < 0.05 \text{ so significant } \underline{\text{or}} \text{ reject } H_0]$ There is evidence to support Mia's belief	dA1	2.2b	
		(4)	• \	
	Notes	(11 mar	KS)	
(a)				
	A1 for 152 or awrt 152.3 Ans only 2/2 [Condone poor use of notation e.g. $P(\frac{k-166.5}{6.1}) = -2.3263$] Allow percentages instead of probabilities throughout.			
(b)	B1 for awrt 0.915			
(c)	$1^{st} M1$ for interpreting demand as an appropriate conditional probability (\Rightarrow by $2^{nd} M1$)			
	2^{nd} M1 for correct ratio of expressions (can ft their (b) on denominator) (\Rightarrow by 1^{st} A1ft)			
	1^{st} A1ft for a correct ratio of probs (can ft their "0.9148" to 3sf from (b) if > 0.775) 2^{nd} A1 for awrt 0.847			
(d)	B1 for both correct hypotheses in terms of μ			
	1^{st} M1 for selecting the correct model (needn't use $\overline{X} \Rightarrow \text{by standardisation or } 1^{\text{st}}$ A1)			
	1 st A1 for correct use of the correct model i.e. awrt 0.035 (allow 0.04 if $P("\bar{X}" < 164.6)$ seen)			
ATT	Condone P(" \overline{X} ">164.6) = 0.9652 or awrt 0.97 only if comparison with 0.95 is made Use of z value: Need to see $Z = -1.8(15)$ and cv of ± 1.6449 (allow 1.64 or better) for 1st A1			
ALT ALT	Use of CR or CV for \overline{X} : Need to see " \overline{X} " < 164.7786 or CV = (awrt 164.8) for 1^{st} A1			
	Condone truncation i.e 164.7 or better			
	2 nd dA1 (dep on M1A1 only) for a correct inference in context. Must mention Mia's belief or mean height of females/women			
	Do NOT award if contradictory statements about hypotheses made e.g. "not sig"			
SC	M0 for $\overline{X} \sim N(164.6,)$ If they achieve $p = \text{awrt } 0.035$ (o.e. with z-value or CV of 166.3) and a correct conclusion in context is given score M0A0A1 [and SC for awrt $0.97 > 0.95$ case]			
	correct conclusion in context is given score wioAoA1 [and SC 101 awit	0.71 / 0.3	J casej	