Question		on	Answer	Mks	AO	Guidance		
13			In all parts, once mark gained, ignore all else			Allow eg "Group 1" for 0-17s etc.	Allow "children" for 0-17s	
13	(i)		Advantage: Type 1 answers: State or imply compare proportions (or distributions or structure or profile or	E1	1.1	Advantage: Type 2 answers: State or imply with same scale, sizes of diags wd be very different		
			pattern) Examples: Can comp proportions (or distributions or structure or profile) Allow can see props Can compare areas' age groups relative to size of area Easier to see age groupp distributions			Examples: Prevents diag from becoming too big or too small to use effectively If one set of values is a lot lower than the other, it will be hard to compare them on the same scale.	NOT e.g: Easy to compare large area with small Easier to see results Easy to compare populations Because L is bigger than R Can compare age in small & large areas	
			Disadvantage: Type 1 answers: State or imply pop sizes not <u>easy</u> to compare Examples: Diag does not show relative sizes of the authorities R'd appears to have more in 0-17, but actually L'1 has more in this group Hard to compare because diff nos rep by same size on diags Can't compare numbers (or results or pops or sizes) easily Can't compare numbers (or results or pops or sizes) without calculation	E1	1.1	Disadvantage: Type 2 answers: State or imply mismatch between diag size and pop size Examples: Confusing because same size diag for diff size populations Looks as if same no. of people in each Might miss the fact that scales are diff, looks as if more 0-17s in R'd than L'1	<b>NOT eg</b> Can't compare results Can't compare numbers Easy to be mistaken when comparing	
13	(ii)		90000. Allow between 75000 & 95000 incl.	B1 [1]	2.2b	Allow reasonable ans given as range eg "Much more than 50000 but < 100000"		

Question		on	Answer	Mks	AO	Guidance		
13	(iii)		"L" = Liverpool. "R" = Rutland					
			NB: Must be about 60-74s and/or 18-29s and/or 0-17s Answer type 1 Compare <u>proportions</u> in two age groups.			Answer type 2 Compare gps with largest (or smallest) props. Allow "number" instead of prop only for this type of answer	Answer type 3 Comp <u>props</u> in same age gps	
			Examples: <b>Any two of eg:</b> L has smaller prop of 60-74 (than R'd) L has smaller prop of 0-17s (than R'd) L has larger prop of 18-29s (than R) eg, L prop of 18-29s is $4 \times R$ prop 18-29s R has smaller prop of 18-29s R has hier prop of 0-17s	E1 E1	2.2b 2.2b	Examples: L's hiest no. (or mode) is 18-29s AND R's hiest no. (or mode) is 0-17s E1 only L'Is smallest is 75+ AND R's smallest is 18-29 E1 only (75+ allowed in this case only) NOT "number" except in ans about modes or	Examples: L has high prop 18-29s AND R has low prop 18-29s E1 only R has high prop 60-74s AND L has low prop 60-74s E1 only <b>NOT</b> eg L has <b>more</b> 18-29s	
				[2]		smallest. Ignore all else.	than R	
13	(iv)		Must state gp who are likely to have babies ie 18-29s or 30-44s or 18-44s. (Allow 0-29s or "young") This gp is large in L, AND is small in R	E1 ind E1de P [2]	2.4 2.4	<b>Inadequate ans:</b> L high prop of young, who will have babies E1 R high prop of old E0	Allow "number" instead of "proportion" NOT just This gp is larger in L	