11	(a)		k > 1.4 (allow $k > 1.1$ to 1.6) k < 0.25 (allow $k < 0.2$ to 0.3)	B1 B1	2.2b 2.2b	Allow \ge and \le SC: 0.25 < k < 1.4: B1B0	Allow "x"
			(allow it 10.2 to 0.5)	Di	2.20	(ranges as on left)	
			or 1.4 < <i>k</i> < B1				
			$\dots < k < 0.25$ (ranges as above) B1	[0]			
11	(b)	(i)	0.797 > 0.5577 or $-0.797 < -0.5577$	[2] B2	3.1b	0.797 > 0.6055 or -0.797 < -0.6055 B1	Allow \geq or \leq
11	(b)	(1)	or $ -0.797 > 0.5577$	D2	3.1b	±0.5577 B1	Allow <u>></u> or <u>></u>
				[2]	0.2		
11	(b)	(ii)	There are clusters (or groups etc.)	B1*	2.3		NOT Too scattered
							Not represent whole pop
			Apparent good correlation caused by clusters or Two clusters with no –ve corr'n within them				Small sample
			or a comment similar to one of the above.			or Not bivariate normal distribution B1	Clusters not on reg line B1B0
			AND Conclusion unreliable or Value of r is	B1	3.5b	so use of tables for r not valid B1	
			misleading oe	dep B1*			
11	(-)		II'.1	[2]	2 2 L	16 1	NOT
11	(c)		High prop of 65+ or Low prop of 18-24	B 1	2.2b	If consider only <u>one</u> age-group, must be proportion not number	NOT: Similar proportions of 65+
			Prop of young very similar, or ≈ 0.06			If consider both age-groups, allow eg	Population is elderly
			1 3 2 3			Higher number of seniors than young or	
			Proportion of senior to young is high			Many seniors, few young	
11	(1)		T 10 11 010 04	[1]		CI 1 1 1 C10 24	A11
11	(d)		Top left points contain high prop of 18-24s. (So these LAs may be areas where there are	B 1	2.2b	Shows places where large nos of 18-24s Shows where to focus recruiting.	Allow "students" or "young" instead of "18-24s"
			universities or where they can recruit)	DI	2,20	So universities can recruit.	Illstead 01 10-245
			universities of where they can rectary			18-24s are their target group.	Any implication that diagram
							enables you to see information
						No need to specify "Top left group"	about location of young people
				[1]			