

11	(a)		$H_0 : \mu = 161.6$ $H_1 : \mu > 161.6$	B1	1.1	both hypotheses; allow other parameters (but not X or \bar{X}) if defined as mean	
			μ is the population mean height of adult females (in 2020)	B1	2.5		
			1-tailed test because it is suspected that the mean height has increased oe	B1	2.4		
				[3]			
11	(b)		use of $\bar{X} \square N\left(161.6, \frac{1.96}{200}\right)$ soi	M1	3.3	may see $1.645 = \frac{\bar{X}-161.6}{\sqrt{\frac{1.96}{200}}}$	$\bar{X} = 161.76 \dots$ implies M1 only
			awrt $\bar{X} > 161.8$ BC	A1	1.1	allow if inequality not strict NB 161.76283...	allow eg sample mean > 161.8
				[2]			

Question			Answer	Marks	AOs	Guidance	
11	(c)		<p>the 1st statement is correct because $\bar{X} > 161.8$</p> <p>or $\bar{X} > 161.8$ so the sample mean is in the critical region</p>	M1	2.3	<p>FT their 161.8 (must be greater than 161.6) or allow if <i>their</i> $P(\bar{X} > 161.9) [= 0.00122] < 0.05$ or <i>their</i> $z > 1.645$ is considered</p>	critical region must be an upper tail; their probability must be less than 0.5
			<p>the 2nd statement is incorrect because the sample mean is in the critical region oe or because the result is significant oe</p> <p>or ‘...so/hence the null hypothesis is rejected.’ following from the first statement</p>	A1	2.4	FT their calculated critical region or their calculated probability,	
			the 3 rd statement is incorrect; because it is only possible to infer, not prove, using a hypothesis test oe	B1	2.2b	ignore comments about rejecting the null hypothesis oe	
				[3]			