

# Hypothesis Tests and Normal Distributions

If you like normal distributions and you like hypothesis testing, you're going to love this page.  
If you need a reminder of the normal distribution, have a look back at Section 13.

## Use a Hypothesis Test to Find Out about the Population Mean, $\mu$

You can carry out hypothesis testing on the **mean** of a **normal distribution** too. Suppose  $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . If you take a random sample of  $n$  observations from the distribution of  $X$ , and calculate the **sample mean**  $\bar{X}$ , you can use your **observed value**  $\bar{x}$  to test theories about the **population mean**  $\mu$  using the following method:

- 1) The **population parameter** you're testing will always be  $\mu$ , the mean of the population.
- 2) The **null hypothesis** will be:  $H_0: \mu = a$  for some constant  $a$ .
- 3) The **alternative hypothesis**,  $H_1$ , will either be  $H_1: \mu < a$  or  $H_1: \mu > a$  (one-tailed test)  
or  $H_1: \mu \neq a$  (two-tailed test)
- 4) State the **significance level**,  $\alpha$  — you'll usually be given this.
- 5) To find the value of the **test statistic**:  
— Calculate the **sample mean**,  $\bar{x}$ .  
— If  $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , then  $\bar{X} \sim N\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right) \Rightarrow Z = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} \sim N(0, 1)$ .  
— Then the value of your **test statistic** will be  $z = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}}$ .  
*Because the common significance levels are 10%, 5% and 1%, you can often use the table of percentage points for the normal distribution (see p.257).*
- 6) Use a **calculator** to test for significance, either by:  
— finding the **probability** of your test statistic taking a value **at least as extreme** as your observed value (the **p-value**) and comparing it to the significance level  $\alpha$ .  
— finding the **critical value(s)** of the test statistic and seeing if your observed value lies in the **critical region**.
- 7) Write your **conclusion** — you'll either **reject  $H_0$**  or have **insufficient evidence** to do so.

**Example:** The times, in minutes, taken by the athletes in a running club to complete a certain run have been found to follow a  $N(12, 4)$  distribution. The coach increases the number of training sessions per week, and a random sample of 20 times run since the increase gives a mean time of 11.2 minutes. Assuming that the variance has remained unchanged, test at the 5% significance level whether there is evidence that the mean time has decreased.

Let  $\mu$  = mean time since increase in training sessions. Then  $H_0: \mu = 12$ ,  $H_1: \mu < 12$ ,  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

*You assume that there's been no change in the value of the parameter ( $\mu$ ), so you can give it a value of 12.* *This is what you're looking to find evidence for.*

Under  $H_0$ ,  $\bar{X} \sim N\left(12, \frac{4}{20}\right) \Rightarrow \bar{X} \sim N(12, 0.2)$  and  $Z = \frac{\bar{X} - 12}{\sqrt{0.2}} \sim N(0, 1)$ .

$$\bar{x} = 11.2 \Rightarrow z = \frac{11.2 - 12}{\sqrt{0.2}} = -1.789 \text{ (3 d.p.)}$$

This is a **one-tailed test** and you're interested in the lower end of the distribution. So the **critical value** is  $z$  such that  $P(Z < z) = 0.05$ . Using the **percentage points table**, you find that  $P(Z < 1.645) = 0.95$  and so, by **symmetry**,  $P(Z < -1.645) = 0.05$ . So the critical value is  $-1.645$  and the **critical region** is  $Z < -1.645$ .

If you want, you can instead do the test by working out the p-value,  $P(\text{value at least as extreme as observed sample mean})$ , and comparing it to  $\alpha$ . So here you'd do:

$$\begin{aligned} P(\bar{X} \leq 11.2) &= P\left(Z \leq \frac{11.2 - 12}{\sqrt{0.2}}\right) = P(Z \leq -1.7888...) \\ &= 0.03681... < 0.05, \text{ so reject } H_0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $z = -1.789 < -1.645$ , the **result is significant** and there is **evidence** at the 5% level of significance to **reject  $H_0$**  and to suggest that the **mean time has decreased**.

# Hypothesis Tests and Normal Distributions

For a **Two-Tailed Test**, divide  $\alpha$  by 2

**Example:** The volume (in ml) of a cleaning fluid dispensed in each operation by a machine is normally distributed with mean  $\mu$  and standard deviation 3. Out of a random sample of 20 measured volumes, the mean volume dispensed was 30.9 ml. Does this data provide evidence at the 5% level of significance that the machine is dispensing a mean volume that is different from 30 ml?

Let  $\mu$  = mean volume (in ml) dispensed in all possible operations of the machine (i.e.  $\mu$  is the mean volume of the 'population').

Your hypotheses will be:  $H_0: \mu = 30$  and  $H_1: \mu \neq 30$

The **significance level** is 5%, so  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

Now find the value of your test statistic:

$$\bar{x} = 30.9, \text{ so } z = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} = \frac{30.9 - 30}{3 / \sqrt{20}} = 1.3416...$$

This is a **two-tailed** test, so you need to check whether the  $p$ -value is less than  $\frac{\alpha}{2} = 0.025$ .

$$P(Z \geq 1.3416...) = 0.0898... > \frac{\alpha}{2}$$

So the result is **not significant** at this level.

This data does **not** provide sufficient evidence at the 5% level to support the claim that the machine is dispensing a mean volume different from 30 ml.

Under  $H_0$ ,  $X \sim N(30, 3^2)$ , so  $\bar{X} \sim N\left(30, \frac{3^2}{20}\right)$ .

So under  $H_0$ ,  $Z = \frac{\bar{X} - 30}{3 / \sqrt{20}} \sim N(0, 1)$ .

You could work out the critical region instead — it's a two-tailed test, so the CR is given by  $P(Z > z) = \frac{\alpha}{2} = 0.025$  or  $P(Z < -z) = \frac{\alpha}{2} = 0.025$ .

Using the percentage points table, this gives a value for  $z$  of 1.960.

So the critical region is  $Z > 1.960$  or  $Z < -1.960$ .

Since  $z = 1.3416... < 1.960$  (and  $> -1.960$ ), there is insufficient evidence at the 5% level to reject  $H_0$ .

## Practice Questions

Q1 Carry out the following test of the mean,  $\mu$ , of a normal distribution with variance  $\sigma^2 = 9$ .

A random sample of 16 observations from the distribution was taken and the sample mean ( $\bar{x}$ ) calculated.

Test  $H_0: \mu = 45$  against  $H_1: \mu < 45$ , at the 5% significance level, using  $\bar{x} = 42$ .

Q2 A random sample of 10 observations is taken from a normal distribution with unknown mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2 = 0.81$ . The results are shown below.

20.1, 18.5, 19.6, 21.1, 20.7, 20.2, 19.5, 19.7, 20.2, 18.2

a) Calculate the value of the sample mean.

b) Carry out a hypothesis test, at the 5% level of significance, of the hypotheses  $H_0: \mu = 20$ ,  $H_1: \mu < 20$ .

## Exam Question

Q1 The heights of trees in an area of woodland are known to be normally distributed with a mean of 5.1 m and a variance of 0.2. A random sample of 100 trees from a second area of woodland is selected and the heights,  $X$ , of the trees are measured giving the following result:

$$\sum x = 490$$

a) Calculate the sample mean,  $\bar{x}$ , for the trees in this second area.

[1 mark]

b) Test at the 0.1% level of significance whether the trees in the second area of woodland have a different mean height from the trees in the first area.

[6 marks]

## A statistician's party game — pin two tails on the donkey...

You can usually use either method to answer these questions (unless you're told which one to use — i.e. sometimes you might be asked to find the critical region). I personally prefer finding the critical region, as you can use the percentage points table which saves a bit of calculation — but it's entirely up to you. And that's this section done.